

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,507	6,507 03/23/2001		Anthony Frank Menninger	41556/04096 (RSIIP057)	6292
22428	7590	04/09/2003			
FOLEY AN	ID LARI	ONER	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR		20007	VIG, NARESH		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3629	
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/816,507	MENNINGER ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Naresh Vig	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wilt, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	anuary 2003 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,6,7,12,13 and 18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,12,13 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •						
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) statent Application (PTO-152)					



Art Unit: 3629

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in reference to the response received by the office on 14 January 2003 to the office action mailed on 16 October 2002. Cancellation of claims 2 - 5, 8 - 11 and 14 - 17 are acknowledges Ammendment to claim 1, 7 and 13 are acknowledged and considered. There are 6 claims 1, 6 - 7, 12 - 13 and 18 pending for examination.

Examiner has acknowledged the IDS submitted by the applicant and received by the office on 21 January 2003.

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 7 and 13 objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1, 7 and 13 recite "system that cannot mandate store-distributor relationships in the supply chain". The body of the claims do not support the preamble. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.



Art Unit: 3629

Claims 1, 6 – 7, 12 – 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salvo et al. US Patent 6,341,271 hereinafter known as Salvo in view of DealTime International "www.dealtime.com" herenafter known as DealTime and Rosenberg et al. US Patent 6,418,416 hereinafter known as Rosenberg.

Regarding claims1, 7 and 13, Salvo discloses an inventory management system which automatically monitors inventory amounts, provides information concerning inventory, and decides if an order for replacement inventory should be placed. The system includes a storage for inventory, an indicator for monitoring and reporting the level of current inventory, and a controller for receiving information from different inventory suppliers and for integrating such information with information on current inventory amounts and estimated future use to decide if an order for replacing inventory should be made. A method using this system for managing inventory includes the steps of automatic gathering information about the current inventory and deciding whether and when replacement inventory should be ordered [abstract].

Salvo does not disclose registration of suppliers etc. Rosenberg discloses system and method of dispensing items in a controlled manner, re-ordering the dispensed articles or items, and providing inventory and other information about the items and users in the system [abstract]. The user enters an identification string that uniquely identifies the user and his or her access rights. The controller verifies the user's identification string and allows access to the enclosure when the user is authorized. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art the



Art Unit: 3629

users are registered users. Also, DealTime discloses system and method which allows customers to purchase products online over the internet. DealTime discloses to register suppliers [page 3]. Therefore, it is known at the time of invention to a person with ordinary skill in the art to implement registration to secure the system.

Salvo discloses at least one storage receptacle (stores, part of the supply chain) that stores inventory; at least one amount indicator that determines an inventory amount in each receptacle, each amount indicator generating inventory amount signals representative of inventory amounts in the receptacle [col. 2, lines 59 – 64].

Salvo system and method comprises the steps of determining an inventory amount in each receptacle (colleting data from plurality of stores) and analyzing inventory amount signals [col. 3, lines 8-22]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art that the current inventory is more recent that the previous inventory.

The signals transmitted in the inventory management system 100 are typically sent over hardwired connections, for example cables, connectors, dedicated phone lines, fiber-optic lines, and similar hardwired connections. Alternatively, the signals may be sent by wireless connections, including but not limited to, wired and wireless Ethernet signals, radio signals, short-wave signals, wired and wireless internet and web signals, and other wireless connections. Signals are sent from and received by the control unit 114 [col. 5, lines 11 – 20].

Salvo discloses an indicator for monitoring and reporting the level of current inventory, and a controller for receiving information from different inventory suppliers



Art Unit: 3629

and for integrating such information with information on current inventory amounts and estimated future use to decide if an order for replacing inventory should be made. An order is placed automatically to a supplier and the progress for the delivery of replacement inventory is automatically monitored. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art that when the inventory is replenished in the receptacle, updated inventory level is relayed to the control unit. Salvo does not disclose current information compared to the previous information. However, it is a business choice to decide whether to monitor inventory in real time, or, monitor the inventory at periodic intervals. Also, it is a business choice to elect how to wants to charge its receptacles (stores) for the depleted inventory. For example, a franchisor may decide to charge its frenchisee purchase all the raw material from the franchisor (franchisor may elect not to compare old information with new information) whereas, the franchisor may elect to charge franchise fee based upon volume (franchisor may elect to compare new information with old information to determine franchise fee). Therefore, it is known at the time of invention t a person with ordinary skill in the art to compare old information with the new information to determine the amount of usage, usage rate, etc.

Salvo doe not disclose data storage. DealTime discloses to register affiliates and members. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art that DealTime has data storage to store information of its affiliates and merchants. Also, Rosenberg discloses to have database [Fig. 1]. Therefore, it is known at the time of invention to a person with ordinary skill in the art to use data storage to store information in the system for later retrieval and use.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/816,507

Art Unit: 3629

Regarding claim 6, 12 and 18, Salvo discloses that the signals transmitted in the inventory management system 100 are typically sent over hardwired connections, for example cables, connectors, dedicated phone lines, fiber-optic lines, and similar hardwired connections. Alternatively, the signals may be sent by wireless connections, including but not limited to, wired and wireless Ethernet signals, radio signals, shortwave signals, wired and wireless internet and web signals, and other wireless connections. Signals are sent from and received by the control unit 114 [col. 5, lines 11 – 20].

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-7, 12-13 and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3629

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Bhaskaran et al. US Patent 6,157,915

2. Sugioka, Mikio Japan Patent JP 2002236730 A

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naresh Vig whose telephone number is 703.305.3372. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00 (Alt Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703.308.2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687 for regular communications and 703.305.7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.305.3900.

Naresh Vig April 7, 2003 JOHN G. WEISS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

gri. It